

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
	10/608,478	IWAMOTO ET AL.	IWAMOTO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Anatoly Vortman	2835		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperature All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313  1. This communication is responsive to election filed on 09/13	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate communication. This application is sure and MPEP 1308.	this application. If not include nication will be mailed in due of	d course. <b>THIS</b>	
2. ☑ The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-12.	<del>,,,,,</del> ,			
3.  The drawings filed on <u>27 June 2003</u> are accepted by the E	xaminer.			
<ul> <li>4.  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una)  All b)  Some* c)  None of the:  1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have  International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * Certified copies not received:  Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.</li> <li>5.  A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submit INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give  6.  CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must  (a)  including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers  1)  hereto or 2)  To Paper No./Mail Date  (b)  including changes required by the attached Examiner's  Paper No./Mail Date  Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1. each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the  1.  DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depose  attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT In</li></ul>	been received.  been received in Application cuments have been received of this communication to file at ENT of this application.  itted. Note the attached EXAL is reason(s) why the oath or at the submitted.  on's Patent Drawing Review as Amendment / Comment or it is application.	in No in this national stage application this national stage application that is a reply complying with the requirement of the complex and the complex action of the drawings in the front (not the complex allocation).  RIAL must be submitted. No	uirements OTICE OF back) of	
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 6/27/03</li> <li>4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material</li> </ul>	6. ☐ Interview Sur Paper No./N 8), 7. ☐ Examiner's A	Mail Date Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allov	,	
A.la	le –	Anatoly Vortman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2835		

Application/Control Number: 10/608,478

Art Unit: 2835

## **REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, and 11 (Specie I) in the reply filed on 09/13/04 is acknowledged. The Applicant did not provide any arguments regarding the restriction per se, however traversed on the ground(s) that claim 1 is generic to all species. This is found to be <u>persuasive</u> because ranges for the ingredients of the alloy composition recited in independent claim 1 are broader than the ranges recited in independent claim 2, and allowance of claim 1 would also render claim 2 and all of the claims dependent thereon also allowable.

In the instant case, claim 1 is generic and allowable. Accordingly, the restriction requirement as to the encompassed species is hereby withdrawn and claims 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12 directed to the Specie II are <u>not</u> withdrawn from consideration and are also allowable.

2. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The allowability resides in the overall structure of the device as recited in independent claim 1, and at least in part, because claim 1 recites a specific composition of the fusible wire alloy, i.e. "an alloy composition of 30 to 70% Sn, 0.3 to 20% Sb, and a balance Bi".

The aforementioned limitations <u>in combination</u> with all remaining limitations of independent claim 1, are believed to render independent claims 1 and 2 and all claims dependent thereon patentable over the art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,478

Art Unit: 2835

ì

Furthermore, the Applicant has established <u>unexpected results</u> (i.e. the liquidus temperature of the alloy is about 150° C, wherein the width  $\Delta$  T of the solid-liquid coexisting region is 7° C or narrower) over the claimed ranges of said composition ingredients by comparing a sufficient number of tests both inside and outside the claimed ranges (see "Examples" presented on p. 20-30 of the specification of the instant application), thus showing the <u>criticality</u> of the claimed ranges. *In re Hill*, 128 USPQ 197 (CCPA 1960).

US/6,064,293 disclosed fuse element made of tertiary Sn-Sb-Bi alloy comprising 36% of Sn, Sb, and Bi (see claims 1 and 2), but did not disclose specific ranges for Sn, Sb, and Bi, as recited in claim 1 of the instant application.

JP/4-12428 disclosed fuse element made of binary or higher alloy of Pb and Sn, In, Sb, Bi, Cd, Zn, Pd, Pt, Ag, Au, or Cu, but did not disclose that said alloy is a tertiary Sn-Sb-Bi alloy as recited in claim 1 of the instant application.

The Examiner has cited the following pertinent to the present invention references:

GB/2148626 disclosed fusible element for a fuse comprising Sb (see table on p. 2).

US/3201646 and US/3386063 disclosed Pb-Sn-Bi and Pb-In-Bi fusible alloy compositions.

US/5712610, 3940728, 4198617, 4622534, and 5898357 disclosed electrical fuses with fusible elements made of various metal alloys.

None of the cited references either taken alone or in combination is believed to render the present invention unpatentable as claimed.

3. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anatoly Vortman whose telephone number is 571-272-2047. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, between 10:00 am and 6:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Lynn Feild can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> **Anatoly Vortman** Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835

A. Well AV